

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/065,099	09/17/2002	Vandita Pai-Parajape	120829-3	6160
23413	7590 08/10/2004		EXAMINER	
CANTOR COLBURN, LLP 55 GRIFFIN ROAD SOUTH			MULVANEY, ELIZABETH EVANS	
BLOOMFIE			ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
			1774	
			DATE MAILED: 08/10/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	$\neg \not \hookrightarrow$
Office Action Summan	10/065,099	PAI-PARAJAPE ET AL.	/
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Elizabeth E. Mulvaney	1774	
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet wi	h the correspondence address -	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REI THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory peri - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by sta Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the ma earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	N. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a re reply within the statutory minimum of thirt, ind will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT	eply be timely filed (30) days will be considered timely. THS from the mailing date of this communical	ation.
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on			
	his action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allow			s is
closed in accordance with the practice unde	er Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D.	11, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-23</u> is/are pending in the application	on.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withd	rawn from consideration.		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-23</u> is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	I/or election requirement.		
Application Papers			
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Exami	ner.		
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ ad	ccepted or b) objected to b	y the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre	ection is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121	1(d).
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner. Note the attached	Office Action or form PTO-152.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig a) All b) Some * c) None of:	gn priority under 35 U.S.C. §	l19(a)-(d) or (f).	
 Certified copies of the priority docume 	nts have been received.		
2. Certified copies of the priority docume			
Copies of the certified copies of the pri		eceived in this National Stage	
application from the International Bure		,	
* See the attached detailed Office action for a lis	st of the certified copies not re	eceived.	
Attachment(s)			
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 	4) ∐ Interview Sur Paper No(s\/I	nmary (PTO-413) Mail Date	
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08	3) 5) 🔲 Notice of Info	rmal Patent Application (PTO-152)	
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6)		

Application/Control Number: 10/065,099

Art Unit: 1774

DETAILED ACTION

Double Patenting

A rejection based on double patenting of the "same invention" type finds its support in the language of 35 U.S.C. 101 which states that "whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process ... may obtain a patent therefor ..." (Emphasis added). Thus, the term "same invention," in this context, means an invention drawn to identical subject matter. See Miller v. Eagle Mfg. Co., 151 U.S. 186 (1894); In re Ockert, 245 F.2d 467, 114 USPQ 330 (CCPA 1957); and In re Vogel, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970).

A statutory type (35 U.S.C. 101) double patenting rejection can be overcome by canceling or amending the conflicting claims so they are no longer coextensive in scope. The filing of a terminal disclaimer <u>cannot</u> overcome a double patenting rejection based upon 35 U.S.C. 101.

Claims 1-23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 as claiming the same invention as that of claims 1-23 of prior U.S. Patent No. 6,475,588. This is a double patenting rejection.

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Elizabeth Evans

Mulvaney at (571) 272-1527. The examiner can normally be reached Monday through

Thursday from 9:00 AM to 6:00 PM and on alternating Fridays from 9:00 AM to 5:00 PM.

 Art Unit: 1774

<u>direct.uspto.gov</u>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR System, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll free).

Elizabeth Mulvaney Primary Examiner Group 1700